

Nonlocal Wasserstein distance and the associated gradient flows

Dejan Slepčev
Carnegie Mellon University

Simons Institute
October 25, 2021.

- *Nonlocal Wasserstein distance* with Andrew Warren (in preparation)
- *Nonlocal-interaction equation on graphs: gradient flow structure and continuum limit* with Antonio Esposito, Francesco Patacchini, and André Schlichting, ARMA 2021
- *Clustering dynamics on graphs: from spectral clustering to mean shift through Fokker-Planck interpolation* with Katy Craig and Nicolás García Trillos
- *Gradient flows of the entropy for jump processes*, Erbar 2014, Annales IHP Prob and Stat.
- *Gromov–Hausdorff limit of Wasserstein spaces on point clouds* García Trillos 2020 Calc. Var. and PDE

Consider probability measures with finite second moment $\rho_0, \rho_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$
Let \mathcal{A} be the set of paths from ρ_0 to ρ_1 :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A} = \{(\rho, \mathbf{v}) : \rho(\cdot, t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, [0, \infty)), \mathbf{v}_t \in L^2(d\mu_t), \\ \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0} \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, t] \\ \rho(\cdot, 0) = \rho_0 \text{ and } \rho(\cdot, 1) = \rho_1\}\end{aligned}$$

Theorem

$$d_W^2(\rho_0, \rho_1) = \inf_{(\rho, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathcal{A}} \int_0^1 \int |\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t)|^2 d\rho_t(\mathbf{x}) dt$$

Let $\eta : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ describe the nonlocal transportation connections. Assume $\eta(x, y) = \eta(y, x)$. Let $G = \{(x, y) : \eta(x, y) > 0\}$.

Nonlocal Gradient. Given $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the gradient $\bar{\nabla}\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\bar{\nabla}\varphi(x, y) = \varphi(y) - \varphi(x).$$

Nonlocal Divergence. Given $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ – signed measures on G

$$\bar{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{j}(x) = \int \eta(x, y) \mathbf{j}(x, dy).$$

For any $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{M}(G)$, its *nonlocal divergence* $\bar{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined as η -weighted adjoint of $\bar{\nabla}$, i.e.,

$$\int \phi d\bar{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{j} = -\frac{1}{2} \iint_G \bar{\nabla}\phi(x, y) \eta(x, y) d\mathbf{j}(x, y).$$

Nonlocal continuity equation

What is the **nonlocal** analog of the continuity equation:

$$\partial_t \rho_t + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_t = 0 \quad \text{with flux} \quad \mathbf{j}_t(x) = \rho_t(x) v_t(x) ?$$

Nonlocal fluxes j_t are defined on **edges** $(x, y) \in G$ while the densities are defined at points

$$\partial_t \rho_t(x) + (\overline{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{j}_t)(x) = \partial_t \rho_t(x) + \int_G \eta(x, y) j_t(x, dy) = 0 .$$

What is the nonlocal relationship between \mathbf{j} and velocity v , given ρ_t ?

Problem: There is no canonical way to define density along edges.

[Maas '11], [Mielke '11], [Chow, Huang, Li, Zhou '12], [Erbar '14]

use averaging functions $\theta : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\mathbf{j}(x, y) = \theta(\rho(x), \rho(y)) v(x, y).$$

For any $r, s \geq 0$, $\theta(r, r) = r$, θ is increasing in r and s .

Nonlocal Wasserstein distance

Erbar '14, generalizes Maas '11

Given $\rho_0, \rho_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, consider vector fields $v : [0, 1] \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the solution of the continuity equation

$$\partial_t \rho(x) + \bar{\nabla} \cdot (\theta(\rho(x), \rho(y)) v(x, y)) = 0$$

with $\rho(0) = \rho_0$ and $\rho(1) = \rho_1$.

Admissible paths, $\text{CE}(\rho_0, \rho_1)$, are all of the solutions $(\rho_t, v_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ generated by above vector fields.

$$\mathcal{W}_\eta^2(\rho_0, \rho_1) := \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\text{CE}(\rho_0, \rho_1)} \int_0^1 \int_G |v_t(x, y)|^2 |\theta(\rho_t(x), \rho_t(y)) \eta(x, y)| dx dy dt$$

On graphs

$$\mathcal{W}_\eta^2(\rho_0, \rho_1) := \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\text{CE}(\rho_0, \rho_1)} \int_0^1 \sum_{x \in V} \sum_{y \in V} |v_t(x, y)|^2 |\theta(\rho_t(x), \rho_t(y)) \eta(x, y)| dt$$

Interpolations considered

- $\theta(r, 0) = 0$, which includes geometric and logarithmic mean [Erbar, Maas]
- $\theta(r, 0) > 0$, which includes arithmetic mean. The set of tangent fluxes needs to be restricted to a cone.
- $\theta(r, s, j) = \begin{cases} r & \text{if } j > 0 \\ s & \text{if } j \leq 0 \end{cases}$ is the upwind interpolation. The resulting “distance” is not symmetric.

- $V_n = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, similarity matrix W :

$$W_{ij} := \eta(|x_i - x_j|).$$

The weighted degree of a vertex is $d_i = \sum_j W_{i,j}$.

- Graph Laplacian

$$L = D - W,$$

where $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$.

- Graph heat equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho(x_i) = -Lu(x_i).$$

Graph heat equation as graph Wasserstein gradient flows

[Maas '11], [Mielke '11], [Chow, Huang, Li, Zhou '12]

The graph heat equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho = -L\rho$$

is the gradient of entropy

$$E(\rho) = \sum_{x \in V} \rho(x) \ln \rho(x)$$

with respect to the graph Wasserstein distance $d_{w,G}^2$ corresponding to

$$\theta(r, s) = \frac{r - s}{\ln r - \ln s} \quad \text{and } \theta(r, 0) = 0$$

For $F(\rho) = \sum_{x \in V} U(x)\rho(x)$ where U is a smooth function, and $\rho(\cdot, 0) = \delta_{x_i}$, the gradient flow is $\partial_t \rho(x) = 0$ for all x . The support of the solution cannot expand.

Graph heat equation as graph Wasserstein gradient flows

[Maas '11], [Mielke '11], [Chow, Huang, Li, Zhou '12]

The graph heat equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho = -L\rho$$

is the gradient of entropy

$$E(\rho) = \sum_{x \in V} \rho(x) \ln \rho(x)$$

with respect to the graph Wasserstein distance $d_{w,G}^2$ corresponding to

$$\theta(r, s) = \frac{r - s}{\ln r - \ln s} \quad \text{and } \theta(r, 0) = 0$$

For $F(\rho) = \sum_{x \in V} U(x)\rho(x)$ where U is a smooth function, and $\rho(\cdot, 0) = \delta_{x_1}$ the gradient flow is $\partial_t \rho(x) = 0$ for all x . The support of the solution cannot expand.

Fractional Heat Equation

Nonlocal diffusion equation

$$\partial_t \rho = \int (\rho(y) - \rho(x)) \eta(x - y) dy$$

is the gradient flow of entropy

$$E(\rho) = \int \rho(x) \ln \rho(x) dx$$

with respect to the nonlocal Wasserstein distance \mathcal{W}_η corresponding to

$$\theta(r, s) = \frac{r - s}{\ln r - \ln s} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(r, 0) = 0$$

In particular, as Erbar observed, for $s \in (0, 2)$ the fractional heat equation

$$\partial_t \rho = \int \frac{1}{|x - y|^{-d-s}} (\rho(y) - \rho(x)) dy = \Delta^{s/2} \rho$$

is the gradient flow of entropy with respect to the nonlocal Wasserstein distance for $\eta(z) = \frac{1}{|z|^{-d-s}}$.

Difficulties:

- ρ may contain atoms
⇒ measure valued framework
- Benamou-Brenier functional is not jointly convex in (ρ_t, v_t)
⇒ flux variables
- Upwind metric is only positively homogeneous:
 $g(v, v) \neq g(-v, -v)$. The geometric structure is Finslerian rather than Riemannian.
- In the general framework the underlying space ρ is supported within is described by measure μ . For most of the talk μ is the Lebesgue measure.

Nonlocal continuity equation and action

Nonlocal continuity equation in measure valued flux form

A pair $(\rho_t, \mathbf{j}_t)_{t \in [0, T]} \in \text{CE}_T$ provided that $(\rho_t, \mathbf{j}_t) \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{M}(G)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$:

$$\partial_t \rho_t + \bar{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{j}_t = 0 \quad \text{in } C_c^\infty([0, T] \times \Omega)^*$$

That is for smooth test functions φ

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \partial_t \varphi_t(x) d\rho_t(x) dt + \int_0^T \iint_G \bar{\nabla} \varphi_t(x, y) \eta(x, y) d\mathbf{j}_t(x, y) dt = 0 .$$

Nonlocal continuity equation and action

Nonlocal continuity equation in measure valued flux form

A pair $(\rho_t, \mathbf{j}_t)_{t \in [0, T]} \in \text{CE}_T$ provided that $(\rho_t, \mathbf{j}_t) \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{M}(G)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$:

$$\partial_t \rho_t + \overline{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{j}_t = 0 \quad \text{in } C_c^\infty([0, T] \times \Omega)^*$$

Action [for upwind flux]

For $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{M}(G)$, set $|\lambda| = |\rho \otimes \mu| + |\mu \otimes \rho| + |\mathbf{j}| \in \mathcal{M}^+(G)$ and define

$$\mathcal{A}(\mu; \rho, \mathbf{j}) = \iint_G \left(\alpha \left(\frac{d\mathbf{j}}{d|\lambda|}, \frac{d(\rho \otimes \mu)}{d|\lambda|} \right) + \alpha \left(-\frac{d\mathbf{j}}{d|\lambda|}, \frac{d(\mu \otimes \rho)}{d|\lambda|} \right) \right) \eta d|\lambda|.$$

where, the convex, and pos. one-homogeneous function α is defined by

$$\alpha(j, r) := \begin{cases} \frac{(j_+)^2}{r} & \text{if } r > 0, \quad \text{with } j_+ = \max\{0, j\} \\ 0 & \text{if } j \leq 0 \text{ and } r = 0, \\ \infty & \text{if } j > 0 \text{ and } r = 0. \end{cases}$$

Finite action leads to upwind flux

Proposition

Let $(\rho, \mathbf{j}) \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ such that $\mathcal{A}(\mu; \rho, \mathbf{j}) < \infty$, then:

- there exists a measurable nonlocal vector field $v : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$d\mathbf{j}(x, y) = v(x, y)_+ d\rho(x) d\mu(y) - v(x, y)_- d\mu(x) d\rho(y) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathcal{A}(\mu; \rho, \mathbf{j}) = \iint_G (|v(x, y)_+|^2 + |v(y, x)_-|^2) \eta(x, y) d\rho(x) d\mu(y).$$

- there exists an antisymmetric $\mathbf{j}^{as} \in \mathcal{M}^{as}(G)$ such that

$$\bar{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{j} = \bar{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{j}^{as}, \quad \text{that is} \quad \iint_G \bar{\nabla} \phi \eta d\mathbf{j} = \iint_G \bar{\nabla} \phi \eta d\mathbf{j}^{as} \quad \forall \phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega),$$

and an antisymmetric $v^{as} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\mathcal{A}(\mu; \rho, \mathbf{j}^{as}) = 2 \iint_G |v^{as}(x, y)_+|^2 \eta d\rho(x) d\mu(y) \leq \mathcal{A}(\mu; \rho, \mathbf{j}).$$

Lower semicontinuity and compactness

Lower semicontinuity

if $\mu^n \rightharpoonup \mu$ in $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$, $\rho^n \rightharpoonup \rho$ in $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, and $\mathbf{j}^n \rightharpoonup \mathbf{j}$ in $\mathcal{M}(G)$, then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}(\mu^n; \rho^n, \mathbf{j}^n) \geq \mathcal{A}(\mu; \rho, \mathbf{j})$$

Compactness

Let $(\rho^n, \mathbf{j}^n) \in \text{CE}_T$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sup_n \int_0^T \mathcal{A}(\rho_t^n, \mathbf{j}_t^n) dt < \infty$.
Then, there exists $(\rho, \mathbf{j}) \in \text{CE}_T$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_t^n &\rightharpoonup \rho_t && \text{in } \mathcal{P}_2(\Omega) \text{ for all } t \in [0, T] \\ \mathbf{j}^n &\rightharpoonup \mathbf{j} && \text{in } \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(G \times [0, T]). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \mathcal{A}(\rho_t^n, \mathbf{j}_t^n) dt \geq \int_0^T \mathcal{A}(\rho_t, \mathbf{j}_t) dt$.

Compactness of solutions to CE

Assumption (weight function)

The μ -measurable nonnegative symmetric lsc. function $\eta: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies:

- The measure $\eta(\cdot, \cdot) d\mu$ is uniformly integrable close to diagonal, that is

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in \Omega} \int_{B_\varepsilon(x)} |x-y|^2 \eta(x, y) d\mu(y) = 0, \quad B_\varepsilon(x) = \{y \in \Omega : |x-y| < \varepsilon\}.$$

Compactness: Let $(\rho^n, \mathbf{j}^n) \in \text{CE}_T$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_2(\rho_0^n) < \infty$ and $\sup_n \int_0^T \mathcal{A}(\rho_t^n, \mathbf{j}_t^n) dt < \infty$. Then, there exists $(\rho, \mathbf{j}) \in \text{CE}_T$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_t^n &\rightharpoonup \rho_t && \text{in } \mathcal{P}_2(\Omega) \text{ for all } t \in [0, T] \\ \mathbf{j}^n &\rightharpoonup \mathbf{j} && \text{in } \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(G \times [0, T]). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the action is lower semicontinuous

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \mathcal{A}(\rho_t^n, \mathbf{j}_t^n) dt \geq \int_0^T \mathcal{A}(\rho_t, \mathbf{j}_t) dt.$$

Definition

For $\rho_0, \rho_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\Omega)$ the *nonlocal upwind Wasserstein quasimetric*

$$\mathcal{W}_\eta(\rho_0, \rho_1)^2 = \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 \mathcal{A}(\rho_t, \mathbf{j}_t) dt : (\rho, \mathbf{j}) \in \text{CE}(\rho_0, \rho_1) \right\}.$$

Properties:

- Minimum is attained for $(\rho, \mathbf{j}) \in \text{CE}(\rho_0, \rho_1)$ with $\mathcal{A}(\rho_t, \mathbf{j}_t) = \mathcal{W}_\eta(\rho_0, \rho_1)^2$.
- \mathcal{W}_η is jointly narrowly lower semicontinuous.
- For upwind interpolation we will use \mathcal{T}_η instead of \mathcal{W}_η . Note that \mathcal{T}_η is not symmetric.

Proposition [Warren and S.]

- If η is nonintegrable: $\eta(x, y) = \eta(|x - y|)$ and $\eta(r) > r^{-d-s}$ when $r \leq \delta$, then

$$\mathcal{W}_\eta(\delta_0, \mathbf{c}\chi_{B(0,\delta)}) \lesssim \delta^{s/2}.$$

- If $\eta(x, y) = \eta(x - y)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta dx < \infty$ and we consider arithmetic mean or upwind interpolation then there exists $c > 0$ such that for all $\nu \perp \rho$

$$\mathcal{W}_\eta(\delta_0, \nu) \geq c.$$

- If $\eta(x, y) = \eta(x - y)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta dx < \infty$ and we consider interpolation with $\theta(r, 0) = 0$ then $\nu \perp \rho$

$$\mathcal{W}_\eta(\delta_0, \nu) = \infty.$$

Furthermore $\mathcal{T}_\eta(\nu, \delta_0) = \infty$.

Erbar showed that the topology metrized by NLW is at least as strong as the the one generated by Wasserstein metric (narrow convergence plus moment control)

Proposition [Warren and S.]

- If η is nonintegrable: $\eta(x, y) = \eta(|x - y|)$ and $\eta(r) > r^{-d-s}$ when $r \leq \delta$, then the topology generated by \mathcal{W}_η on $\mathcal{P}((B(0, R)))$ is the weak topology.
- If η is integrable: If $\eta(x, y) = \eta(x - y)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta dx < \infty$ and we consider arithmetic mean then there exist $0 < c_1, c_2$ such that for all $\rho_0, \rho_1 \in \mathcal{P}((B(0, R)))$

$$c_1 \|\rho_1 - \rho_0\|_{TV} \leq \mathcal{W}(\rho_0, \rho_1) \leq c_2 \left(\|\rho_1 - \rho_0\|_{TV} + R \sqrt{d_{Monge}(\rho_0, \rho_1)} \right)$$

Theorem [Warren and S.]

Upper bound. In the cases where the expel cost is finite (and not including upwind interpolation) and η compactly supported

$$\varepsilon \mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(\mu_0, \mu_1) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma_\eta}} \right) d_W(\mu_0, \mu_1) + O(\sqrt{\varepsilon}).$$

where $\eta_\varepsilon(z) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^d} \eta\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right)$, ω_d is the volume of the unit ball, and

$$\sigma_\eta = \frac{1}{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 \eta(x) dx.$$

Lower bound. Assume both $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are supported inside $\bar{B}(0, R)$ with $R \geq 1$ and η compactly supported. Then,

$$d_W^2(\mu_0, \mu_1) \leq \varepsilon^2 \sigma_\eta \mathcal{W}_{\eta, \varepsilon}^2(\mu_0, \mu_1) + CR^2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Upper bound: elements of the proof I

We use the Wasserstein geodesic to build a competitor. This includes two levels of smoothing.

1. Exact solutions to nonlocal transport. Let

$$\zeta(r) = \int_r^\infty s\eta(s)ds.$$

Consider a solution of the continuity equation

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot J = 0.$$

Let $\rho_\zeta = \rho * \zeta$ and $J_\zeta = J * \zeta$. Then $\partial_t \rho_\zeta + \nabla \cdot (J_\zeta) = 0$.

Let $j(x, y) = (y - x) \cdot J(y)$. Then

$$\partial_t \rho_\zeta + \nabla \cdot j = \partial_t \rho_\zeta + \int j(x, y)\eta(x - y)dy = 0.$$

We use the Wasserstein geodesic to build a competitor. This includes two levels of smoothing.

2. Smoothing that controls the interpolation. The problem arises if $\rho(x) - \theta(\rho(x), \rho(y)) > c > 0$.

Let $K(x) = c e^{-|x|}$ where $\frac{1}{c} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-|x|} dx$. Let $K_\delta(x) = \frac{1}{\delta^d} K\left(\frac{x}{\delta}\right)$.

Consider $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $\mu_\delta = \mu * K_\delta$

If $|y - x| < \delta$,

$$\mu_\delta(y) \leq \mu_\delta(x) \left(1 + \frac{3}{\delta} |y - x|\right).$$

Lower bound: elements of the proof I

We use the dual formulation to provide competitor. In particular the nonlocal Hamilton-Jacobi equation. For graphs developed in *Gangbo, Li, Mou '19, Erbar, Maas, Wirth '20*.

Background:

Lemma. Suppose that μ_0 and μ_1 are probability measures supported within $B(0, R)$. Then,

$$\frac{1}{2}d_W^2(\mu_0, \mu_1) = \sup_{\phi_t \in BL([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \left\{ \int \phi_1 d\mu_1 - \int \phi_0 d\mu_0 : \partial_t \phi_t + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla \phi_t|^2 \leq 0 \right\}$$

it holds that the optimal Hamilton-Jacobi subsolution has the property that $\text{Lip}(\phi_t) \leq 2R$, for Lebesgue-almost all $t \in [0, 1]$.

A Lipschitz function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nonlocal Hamilton-Jacobi subsolution, $\phi_t \in \text{HJ}_{\text{NL}}^1$ if, for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$ for all probability measures $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and for any λ such that $\text{Leb} \ll \lambda$,

$$\int \partial_t \phi_t d\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int (\phi_t(y) - \phi_t(x))^2 \theta \left(\frac{d\mu}{d\lambda}(x), \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda}(y) \right) \eta_\varepsilon(x, y) d\lambda(x) d\lambda(y) \leq 0.$$

Then, the duality formula we expect to hold is

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{W}_{\eta, \varepsilon}^2(\mu_0, \mu_1) = \sup \left\{ \int \phi_1(x) d\mu_1(x) - \int \phi_0(x) d\mu_0(x) : \phi_t \in \text{HJ}_{\text{NL}}^1 \right\}.$$

For technical reasons, we introduce a “smoothed version” of the nonlocal Wasserstein distance instead.