

# <span id="page-0-0"></span>Automated Verification and Control Synthesis of Complex Models

## Alessandro Abate

Department of Computer Science, University of Oxford

www.oxcav.org

Simons Institute - April 2021

# Formal verification: successes and frontiers



• industrial impact in checking correctness of

#### protocols, hardware circuits, and software



model-based, automated, and sound guarantees (formal certificates)

# Formal verification: successes and frontiers



• industrial impact in checking correctness of



model-based, automated, and sound guarantees (formal certificates)

# Formal verification: successes and frontiers



• industrial impact in checking correctness of



model-based, automated, and sound guarantees (formal certificates)

\* Figures (n) refer to 2015, 2021 device share

Source: Cisco VNI Global IP Traffic Forecast. 2016-2021







\* Figures (n) refer to 2017, 2022 traffic share Source: Cisco VNI Global IP Traffic Forecast, 2017-2022

[courtesy M. Zamani]



Nate: The Pad2 has computing power equal to 1600 million instructions per second (MPS). Each data point represents the cost of 1600 MPS of computing power based on the power and price of a specific computing device released that sea Source: Maravecn.d.



#### • tech trends: advances in sensing, networking and embedded computation

#### Cost of Computing Power Equal to an iPad 2







- **1** integration of learning from data within model-based verification & control ("learning for verification and control")
- **2** certified reinforcement learning for policy synthesis ("certified learning")





- verification and control of complex models
	- dynamical models with uncertainty, noise
	- via formal abstractions



# Building automation systems - a CPS exemplar







Building automation system setup in rooms 478/9 at Oxford CS

- advanced modelling for smart buildings
- applications: certifiable energy management
	- $\bullet$  control of temperature, humidity,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$
	- <sup>2</sup> model-based predictive maintenance of devices
	- <sup>3</sup> fault-tolerant certified control
	- <sup>4</sup> demand-response over smart grids

# Building automation systems - a CPS exemplar







Building automation system setup in rooms 478/9 at Oxford CS

- advanced modelling for smart buildings
- applications: certifiable energy management
	- $\bullet$  control of temperature, humidity,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$
	- <sup>2</sup> model-based predictive maintenance of devices
	- <sup>3</sup> fault-tolerant certified control
	- demand-response over smart grids

# Building automation systems - a CPS exemplar







Building automation system setup in rooms 478/9 at Oxford CS

- advanced modelling for smart buildings
- applications: certifiable energy management
	- $\bullet$  control of temperature, humidity,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$
	- <sup>2</sup> model-based predictive maintenance of devices
	- **3** fault-tolerant certified control
	- <sup>4</sup> demand-response over smart grids

# Building automation systems – a complex model



 $\bullet$  model  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  dynamics, coupled with temperature evolution

$$
x_{k+1} = x_k + \frac{\Delta}{V} \left( -\mathbb{1}_{ON} m x_k + \mu_{\{O,C\}} (C_{out} - x_k) \right) + \mathbb{1}_F C_{occ} + \sigma_x w_k
$$
  

$$
y_{k+1} = y_k + \frac{\Delta}{C} \left( \mathbb{1}_{ON} m (T_{set} - y_k) + \mu_{\{O,C\}} \frac{1}{R} (T_{out} - y_k) \right) + \mathbb{1}_F T_{occ,k} + \sigma_y w_k
$$
  
where  $T_k = \sigma_x w_k + \sigma_z w_k$ 

- where  $I_{\text{occ},k} = v x_k + \zeta$
- $\bullet$  *x* zone CO<sub>2</sub> level
- *y* zone temperature
- *Tset* set temperature (air circulation)
- *Tout* outside temperature (window)
- $\bullet$   $T_{occ}$  generated heat (occupants)
- *σ*(·) variance of noise *w<sup>k</sup>* ∼ N(0, 1)



# Building automation systems – a complex model







air circulation: ON

# Complex models: from finite to uncountable



 $(S, T)$  $S = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  S  $\mathbf{T} =$  $\sqrt{ }$  $\overline{1}$  $p_{11}$   $\cdots$   $p_{14}$ · · · · · · · · ·  $p_{41}$   $\cdots$   $\cdots$ 1  $P(z_1, \{z_2, z_3\}) = p_{12} + p_{13}$ 

finite-space Markov chain uncountable-space Markov process

$$
(\mathcal{S},\mathfrak{T})
$$

$$
\delta = \mathbb{R}^2
$$

$$
\mathcal{T}(dx|s) = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-m(s))T\Sigma^{-1}(s)(x-m(s))}}{\sqrt{2\pi}|\Sigma(s)|^{1/2}}dx
$$
  

$$
\mathbb{P}(s, A) = \int_A \mathcal{T}(dx|s), \quad A \subseteq \mathcal{S}
$$





# Probabilistic model checking of complex models



- **•** general specifications expressed as PCTL formulae, e.g.
- simplest instance: probabilistic safety is the probability that the execution, started at *s*, stays in safe set A during the time horizon  $[0, N]$

$$
\mathcal{P}_s(A) = \mathbb{P}_s(s_k \in A, \forall k \in [0, N])
$$

• select  $p \in [0,1]$ ; probabilistic safe set with safety level p is

 $S(p) = \{s \in \mathcal{S} : \mathcal{P}_s(A) > p\}$ 

 $P$ CTL formula:  $P_{\leq 1-p}$   $(\texttt{true } U^{\leq N} \neg A)$ 

# Probabilistic model checking of complex models



- **•** general specifications expressed as PCTL formulae, e.g.
- simplest instance: probabilistic safety is the probability that the execution, started at *s*, stays in safe set A during the time horizon  $[0, N]$

$$
\mathcal{P}_s(A) = \mathbb{P}_s(s_k \in A, \forall k \in [0, N])
$$

• select  $p \in [0,1]$ ; probabilistic safe set with safety level p is

$$
S(p) = \{s \in \mathcal{S} : \mathcal{P}_s(A) \ge p\}
$$

- $P$ CTL formula:  $P_{\leq 1-p}$   $(\texttt{true } U^{\leq N} \neg A)$
- $\bullet$   $\mathcal{P}_s(A)$  can be fully characterised (and optimised)
- issues with computation of  $P_s(A)$  and of  $S(p)$



### complex complex specification



✻ *ξ*-quantitative abstraction

complex complex specification





$$
\xi\text{-quantitative}\atop\text{abstraction}
$$





























- approximate stochastic process  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  as MC  $(S, \mathbb{T})$ , where
	- $S = \{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_p\}$  finite set of abstract states
	- $\mathbb{T}: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  transition probability matrix

- approximate stochastic process  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  as MC  $(S, \mathbb{T})$ , where
	- $S = \{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_p\}$  finite set of abstract states
	- $\bullet$   $\mathbb{T}: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0,1]$  transition probability matrix

• algorithm:

```
input: stochastic process (S, \mathcal{T})
```
output:  $MC(S, T)$ 





• approximate stochastic process  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  as MC  $(S, \mathbb{T})$ , where

• 
$$
S = \{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_p\}
$$
 - finite set of abstract states

 $\bullet$   $\mathbb{T}: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  – transition probability matrix

algorithm:

**input:** stochastic process  $(S, \mathcal{T})$ 

1 select finite partition 
$$
S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{p} S_i
$$

output:  $MC(S, T)$ 





• approximate stochastic process  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  as MC  $(S, \mathbb{T})$ , where

• 
$$
S = \{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_p\}
$$
 - finite set of abstract states

 $\bullet$  T :  $8 \times 8 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  – transition probability matrix

• algorithm:

**input:** stochastic process  $(S, \mathcal{T})$ 

- 1 select finite partition  $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{p} S_i$
- 2 select representative points  $z_i \in S_i$
- 3 define finite state space  $S := \{z_i, i = 1, ..., p\}$

output:  $MC(S, T)$ 





• approximate stochastic process  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  as MC  $(S, \mathbb{T})$ , where

• 
$$
S = \{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_p\}
$$
 - finite set of abstract states

 $\bullet$  T :  $8 \times 8 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  – transition probability matrix

• algorithm:

**input:** stochastic process  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$ 

- 1 select finite partition  $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{p} S_i$
- 2 select representative points  $z_i \in S_i$
- 3 define finite state space  $S := \{z_i, i = 1, ..., p\}$

4 compute transition probability matrix:  $\mathbb{T}(z_i, z_j) = \mathfrak{T}(S_j \mid z_i)$ output:  $MC(S, T)$ 





- approximate stochastic process  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  as MC  $(S, \mathbb{T})$ , where
	- $S = \{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_p\}$  finite set of abstract states
	- $\mathbb{T}: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  transition probability matrix

• algorithm:

**input:** stochastic process  $(S, \mathcal{T})$ 

- 1 select finite partition  $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{p} S_i$
- 2 select representative points  $z_i \in S_i$
- 3 define finite state space  $S := \{z_i, i = 1, ..., p\}$

4 compute transition probability matrix:  $\mathbb{T}(z_i, z_j) = \mathfrak{T}(S_j \mid z_i)$ output:  $MC(S, T)$ 





Model checking probabilistic safety via formal abstractions



safety set *A* ⊂ S, time horizon *N*, safety level *p*

### Model checking probabilistic safety via formal abstractions



- safety set *A* ⊂ S, time horizon *N*, safety level *p*
- $\delta$ -abstract  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$  as MC  $(S, \mathbb{T})$ , so that  $A \rightarrow A_{\delta}$ , quantify error *ξ*(*δ*, *N*)
- $\Rightarrow$  probabilistic safe set

$$
S(p) = \{s \in \mathcal{S} : \mathcal{P}_s(A) \ge p\}
$$
  
= \{s \in \mathcal{S} : (1 - \mathcal{P}\_s(A)) \le 1 - p\}

### Model checking probabilistic safety via formal abstractions



- safety set *A* ⊂ S, time horizon *N*, safety level *p*
- $\delta$ -abstract  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$  as MC  $(S, \mathbb{T})$ , so that  $A \rightarrow A_{\delta}$ , quantify error *ξ*(*δ*, *N*)
- $\Rightarrow$  probabilistic safe set

$$
S(p) = \{s \in \mathcal{S} : \mathcal{P}_s(A) \ge p\}
$$
  
= \{s \in \mathcal{S} : (1 - \mathcal{P}\_s(A)) \le 1 - p\}

can be computed via

$$
Z_{\delta}(p+\xi) \doteq \mathsf{Sat}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\leq 1-p-\xi}\left(\mathtt{true} \ \mathsf{U}^{\leq N}\ \neg A_{\delta}\right)\right) \\ = \left\{z \in S: z \models \mathbb{P}_{\leq 1-p-\xi}\left(\mathtt{true} \ \mathsf{U}^{\leq N}\ \neg A_{\delta}\right)\right\}
$$

### Formal abstractions: error *ξ*



• consider  $\mathcal{T}(d\bar{s}|s) = \mathfrak{t}(\bar{s}|s) d\bar{s}$ ; assume t is Lipschitz continuous, namely

 $\exists 0 \le h_s < \infty : \quad |f(\bar{s}|s) - f(\bar{s}|s')| \le h_s ||s - s'||, \quad \forall s, s', \bar{s} \in S$ 

## Formal abstractions: error *ξ*



• consider  $\mathcal{T}(d\bar{s}|s) = \mathfrak{t}(\bar{s}|s) d\bar{s}$ ; assume t is Lipschitz continuous, namely

$$
\exists 0 \leq h_s < \infty: \quad \left| \mathfrak{t}(\bar{s}|s) - \mathfrak{t}(\bar{s}|s') \right| \leq h_s \left\| s - s' \right\|, \quad \forall s, s', \bar{s} \in \mathcal{S}
$$

\n- **one-step error** (related to approximate probabilistic bisimulation)
\n- $$
\epsilon = h_s \delta \mathcal{L}(A)
$$
\n- $\delta$  - max diameter of partition sets
\n- $\mathcal{L}(A)$  - volume of set of interest
\n- **N-step error** (tuneable via  $\delta$ )
\n- $\zeta(\delta, N) = \epsilon N$
\n

## Formal abstractions: error *ξ*



• consider  $\mathcal{T}(d\bar{s}|s) = \mathfrak{t}(\bar{s}|s) d\bar{s}$ ; assume t is Lipschitz continuous, namely

$$
\exists 0 \leq h_s < \infty: \quad \left| \mathfrak{t}(\bar{s}|s) - \mathfrak{t}(\bar{s}|s') \right| \leq h_s \left\| s - s' \right\|, \quad \forall s, s', \bar{s} \in \mathcal{S}
$$

- **one-step error** (related to approximate probabilistic bisimulation)  $\epsilon = h_s \delta \mathcal{L}(A)$ *δ* – max diameter of partition sets  $\bullet$   $\mathscr{L}(A)$  – volume of set of interest • *N*-step error (tuneable via *δ*) *ξ*(*δ*, *N*) = *eN*
- $\rightarrow$  improved and generalised error





### http://sourceforge.net/projects/faust2





http://sourceforge.net/projects/faust2



### o sequential, adaptive, anytime





http://sourceforge.net/projects/faust2



### • sequential, adaptive, anytime





http://sourceforge.net/projects/faust2



### • sequential, adaptive, anytime





http://sourceforge.net/projects/faust2



### o sequential, adaptive, anytime





### http://sourceforge.net/projects/faust2



#### o sequential, adaptive, anytime



#### verification

- · abstraction based
- novel algorithm with tighter bounds and more scalability





#### verification

- · abstraction based
- novel algorithm with tighter bounds and more scalability



#### synthesis

- · abstraction based
- · optimisation via sparse matrices



#### verification

- · abstraction based
- novel algorithm with tighter bounds and more scalability



#### synthesis

- · abstraction based
- optimisation via sparse matrices

#### features

simulation

statistics

- $\bullet$  modular
- $C++$  implementation

• automatically generates

· visualisation via time

varying histograms

- $\bullet$  extendable
- multiple options



#### verification

- abstraction based
- novel algorithm with tighter bounds and more scalability



#### synthesis

- · abstraction based
- · optimisation via sparse matrices

#### features

simulation

statistics

• automatically generates

· visualisation via time

varying histograms

- $\bullet$  modular
- $\bullet$  C + + implementation
- · extendable
- multiple options

### Building automation systems – case study



$$
x_{k+1} = x_k + \frac{\Delta}{V} \left( -\mathbb{1}_{ON} m x_k + \mu_{\{O,C\}} (C_{out} - x_k) \right) + \mathbb{1}_F C_{occ} + \sigma_x w_k
$$
  

$$
y_{k+1} = y_k + \frac{\Delta}{C} \left( \mathbb{1}_{ON} m (T_{set} - y_k) + \mu_{\{O,C\}} \frac{1}{R} (T_{out} - y_k) \right) + \mathbb{1}_F T_{occ,k} + \sigma_y w_k
$$

where  $T_{\text{occ},k} = \nu x_k + \zeta$ 



## Building automation systems – case study

- safe set  $A = [300\,700]$   $ppm \times [19\ 21]^oC$
- air circulation: closed-loop control policy at  $k + 1$ 
	- $\sqrt{ }$ <sup>J</sup>  $\mathcal{L}$ *OFF* if  $(x_k, y_k) \leq A$ *ON* if  $(x_k, y_k) \ge A$ stay put else

**•** specification:

$$
\mathbb{P}_{=?}\left[\Box^{\leq 20}(x,y)\in A\right]
$$

- 5 hours, 8:00-13:00 ( $\Delta = 15$  min, N=20), divided into
	- $\bullet$  8:00-8:30 (N=2) (E,C)
	- $\bullet$  8:30-11:30 (N=12) (F,C)
	- $\bullet$  11:30-13:00 (N=6) (F,O)





### Building automation systems – case study





All images used are under Wikimedia CCAS license, or by author

#### Selected journal references

L. Laurenti, M. Lahijanian, A. Abate, L. Cardelli and M. Kwiatkowska, "Formal and Efficient Control Synthesis for Continuous-Time Stochastic Processes," IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 17-32, Jan 2021.

S. Haesaert, S.E.Z. Soudjani, and A. Abate, "Verification of general Markov decision processes by approximate similarity relations and policy refinement," SIAM Journal on Control and Optimisation, vol. 55, nr. 4, pp. 2333-2367, 2017.

I. Tkachev, A. Mereacre, J.-P. Katoen, and A. Abate, "Quantitative Model Checking of Controlled Discrete-Time Markov Processes," Information and Computation, vol. 253, nr. 1, pp. 1–35, 2017.

S. Haesaert, N. Cauchi and A. Abate, "Certified policy synthesis for general Markov decision processes: An application in building automation systems," Performance Evaluation, vol. 117, pp. 75-103, 2017.

S.E.Z. Soudjani and A. Abate, "Aggregation and Control of Populations of Thermostatically Controlled Loads by Formal Abstractions," IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology. vol. 23, nr. 3, pp. 975–990, 2015.

S.E.Z. Soudjani and A. Abate, "Quantitative Approximation of the Probability Distribution of a Markov Process by Formal Abstractions," Logical Methods in Computer Science, Vol. 11, nr. 3, Oct. 2015.

M. Zamani, P. Mohajerin Esfahani, R. Majumdar, A. Abate, and J. Lygeros, "Symbolic control of stochastic systems via approximately bisimilar finite abstractions," IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, vol. 59 nr. 12, pp. 3135-3150, Dec. 2014.

I. Tkachev and A. Abate, "Characterization and computation of infinite horizon specifications over Markov processes," Theoretical Computer Science, vol. 515, pp. 1-18, 2014.

S. Soudjani and A. Abate, "Adaptive and Sequential Gridding for Abstraction and Verification of Stochastic Processes," SIAM Journal on Applied Dynamical Systems, vol. 12, nr. 2, pp. 921-956, 2013.

A. Abate, et al., "Approximate Model Checking of Stochastic Hybrid Systems," European Journal of Control, 16(6), 624-641, 2010.

A. Abate, et al., "Probabilistic Reachability and Safety Analysis of Controlled Discrete-Time Stochastic Hybrid Systems," Automatica, 44(11), 2724-2734, Nov. 2008.



### <span id="page-51-0"></span>Thank you for your attention

### For more info: aabate@cs.ox.ac.uk