### Cut-Preserving Vertex Sparsifiers for Planar and Quasi-Bipartite Graphs

Yu Chen

Joint work with Zihan Tan (Rutgers)

# Cut Sparsifier

- Given a graph G, a cut sparsifer G' is a sparse subgraph that (approximately) preserves all cut values in G.
- Importance Sampling:
  - Sample edge e with probability  $p_e$  that depends on the importance of e.
  - If e gets sampled, reweight e to  $1/p_e$ .



# Cut Sparsifier

- Given a graph G, a cut sparsifer G' is a sparse subgraph that (approximately) preserves all cut values in G.
- Any graph has a quality- $(1 + \varepsilon)$  cut sparsifier with  $O(n/\varepsilon^2)$  edges. [BSS12]
- What if n is very large and only k vertices are important?

## Terminal Cut

• Given a graph G and a set of terminals T, a terminal cut is a partition of the terminals (S, T - S), whose size is defined to be size of the minimum cut that partition S and T - S.

• Given a graph G and a set of terminals T, a vertex cut sparsifer G' is a small graph that (approximately) preserves all terminal cut values in G.

## Vertex Cut Sparsifier



#### Without Steiner Nodes

• Given a graph *G* and *k* terminals, there is a quality- $O\left(\frac{\log k}{\log \log k}\right)$  cut sparsifier without Steiner nodes. [Moitra09, CLLM10]

• Lower bound 
$$\Omega\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log k}}{\log \log k}\right)$$
. [MM10, CLLM10]

• How many Steiner nodes do we need to achieve a very good ratio?

- Given a graph G and k terminals, there is a quality-1 cut sparsifier with  $2^{2^k}$  vertices. [HKNR98, KR14]
- If an edge is not cut by any terminal cut, then increasing the weight of this edge will not change any terminal cut size.
- If two vertices are on the same side for every terminal cut, then we can contract them.

- Given a graph G and k terminals, there is a quality-1 cut sparsifier with  $2^{2^k}$  vertices. [HKNR98, KR14]
- For any vertex v, define  $\pi^{v}: 2^{T} \to \{0,1\}$ , where  $\pi^{v}(S) = 1$  if v is on the same side as S in the terminal cut (S, T S), 0 otherwise.
- For any two vertex u, v, if  $\pi^u = \pi^v$ , then we can contract them.

2<sup>k</sup> terminal cuts, 2<sup>2<sup>k</sup></sup> possible vectors (profile).

# Contraction Based Cut Sparsifier





- Given a graph *G* and *k* terminals, there is a quality-1 cut sparsifier with  $\frac{2^{2^k}}{2^{\binom{k}{k/2}}}$  vertices. [HKNR98, KR14]
- For any vertex v, define  $\pi^{v}: 2^{T} \to \{0,1\}$ , where  $\pi^{v}(S) = 1$  if v is on the same side as S in the terminal cut (S, T S), 0 otherwise.
- There exist graph such that the vertices have  $2^{2^{\Omega(k)}}$  different profiles. [KPZ17]

- Given a graph *G* and *k* terminals, there is a quality-1 cut sparsifier with  $\frac{2^{2^k}}{2^{\binom{k}{k/2}}}$  vertices. [HKNR98, KR14]
- There exist graphs such that any contracted-based quality-1 cut sparsifier has  $2^{2^{\Omega(k)}}$  vertices. [KPZ17]
- There exist graphs such that any quality-1 cut sparsifier has  $2^{\Omega(k)}$  vertices. [KPZ17]

- Given a graph *G* and *k* terminals, there is a quality-1 cut sparsifier with  $\frac{2^{2^k}}{2^{\binom{k}{k/2}}}$  vertices. [HKNR98, KR14]
- There exist graphs such that any contracted-based quality-1 cut sparsifier has  $2^{2^{\Omega(k)}}$  vertices. [KPZ17]
- There exist planar graphs such that any quality-1 cut sparsifier has  $2^{\Omega(k)}$  vertices. [KPZ17]

Can we use importance sampling?

• What if we consider quality- $(1 + \varepsilon)$  cut sparsifier?

Quasi-Bipartite Graph

- In a quasi-bipartite graph, there is no edges between nonterminal vertices.
- The profile of each vertex is independent.
- Sample vertices depend on its importance.
- $\tilde{O}(k/\epsilon^2)$  size quality- $(1 + \epsilon)$  cut sparsifier. [JLLS 23]



Graph Type	Quality	Size	Contraction-Based?	Work
General	1	$2^{2^{k}}$	Yes	[HKNR98,KR14]
General	1	$2^{2^{\Omega(k)}}$	Yes	[KPZ17]
General	1	$2^{\Omega(k)}$	No	[KPZ17,KR14]
Planar	1	$2^{O(k)}$	No	[KR13, KR17]
Planar	1	$2^{\Omega(k)}$	No	[KPZ17]
Quasi-Bipartite	1+ <i>ε</i>	$\widetilde{O}(k/\varepsilon^2)$	No	[JLLS23]

Graph Type	Quality	Size	Contraction-Based?	Work
General	1	$2^{2^{k}}$	Yes	[HKNR98,KR14]
General	1	$2^{2^{\Omega(k)}}$	Yes	[KPZ17]
General	1	$2^{\Omega(k)}$	No	[KPZ17,KR14]
Planar	1	$2^{O(k)}$	No	[KR13, KR17]
Planar	1	$2^{\Omega(k)}$	No	[KPZ17]
Planar	1+ <i>ɛ</i>	$O(k \cdot Poly(\log k / \varepsilon))$	No	This work
Quasi-Bipartite	<u>1</u> + <i>ε</i>	$\widetilde{O}(k/\varepsilon^2)$	No	[JLLS23]

Graph Type	Quality	Size	Contraction-Based?	Work
General	1	$2^{2^{k}}$	Yes	[HKNR98,KR14]
General	1	$2^{2^{\Omega(k)}}$	Yes	[KPZ17]
General	1	$2^{\Omega(k)}$	No	[KPZ17,KR14]
Planar	1	$2^{O(k)}$	No	[KR13, KR17]
Planar	1	$2^{\Omega(k)}$	No	[KPZ17]
Planar	1+ <i>ɛ</i>	$O(k \cdot Poly(\log k / \varepsilon))$	No	This work
Quasi-Bipartite	1	$2^{k^2}$	No	[DKV24]
Quasi-Bipartite	1	$2^{O(k^2 \log k)}$	Yes	This work
Quasi-Bipartite	1+ <i>ε</i>	$\widetilde{O}(k/\varepsilon^2)$	No	[JLLS23]

Graph Type	Quality	Size	Contraction-Based?	Work
General	1	$2^{2^{k}}$	Yes	[HKNR98,KR14]
General	1	$2^{2^{\Omega(k)}}$	Yes	[KPZ17]
General	1	$2^{\Omega(k)}$	No	[KPZ17,KR14]
Planar	1	$2^{O(k)}$	No	[KR13, KR17]
Planar	1	$2^{\Omega(k)}$	No	[KPZ17]
Planar	<u>1+ε</u>	$O(k \cdot Poly(\log k / \varepsilon))$	No	This work
Quasi-Bipartite	1	$2^{k^2}$	No	[DKV24]
Quasi-Bipartite	1	$2^{O(k^2 \log k)}$	Yes	This work
Quasi-Bipartite	1+ <i>ε</i>	$\widetilde{O}(k/\varepsilon^2)$	No	[JLLS23]
Quasi-Bipartite	<u>1+ε</u>	$k^{\mathrm{O}(1/arepsilon^2)}$ , $k^{\widetilde{\Omega}(1/arepsilon)}$	Yes	This work

Graph Type	Quality	Size	Contraction-Based?	Work
General	1	$2^{2^{k}}$	Yes	[HKNR98,KR14]
General	1	$2^{2^{\Omega(k)}}$	Yes	[KPZ17]
General	1	$2^{\Omega(k)}$	No	[KPZ17,KR14]
Planar	1	$2^{O(k)}$	No	[KR13, KR17]
Planar	1	$2^{\Omega(k)}$	No	[KPZ17]
Planar	<u>1+ε</u>	$O(k \cdot Poly(\log k / \varepsilon))$	No	This work
Quasi-Bipartite	1	$2^{k^2}$	No	[DKV24]
Quasi-Bipartite	1	$2^{O(k^2 \log k)}$	Yes	This work
Quasi-Bipartite	1+ <i>ε</i>	$\widetilde{O}(k/\varepsilon^2)$	No	[JLLS23]
Quasi-Bipartite	<u>1+ε</u>	$k^{\mathrm{O}(1/arepsilon^2)}$ , $k^{\widetilde{\Omega}(1/arepsilon)}$	Yes	This work

Quality-1 Cut Sparsifier for Quasi-Bipartite Graphs

#### Perfect Cut Sparsifier for Quasi-Bipartite Graph

- For any vertex v, define  $\pi^{v}: 2^{T} \to \{0,1\}$ , where  $\pi^{v}(S) = 1$  if v is on the same side as S in the terminal cut (S, T S), 0 otherwise.
- Lemma: In a Quasi-Bipartite Graph, only  $2^{O(k^2 \log k)}$  profiles are possible.
- View  $\pi^{\nu}$  as a set of terminal cuts. All possible profile  $\Pi(G)$  is a set family.
- Lemma: VC-dimension of  $\Pi(G)$  is  $O(k \log k)$ .

### Shattering Sets and VC-dimension

- A set family  $\mathcal{F}$  shatters a set U if for any  $U' \subseteq U$ , there is a set  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $F \cap U = U'$ .
- VC-dimension of  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined as the size of maximum U such that  $\mathcal{F}$  shatters a set U.
- Sauer-Shelah Lemma:  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq n^{VC(\mathcal{F})}$ .  $2^{k}$   $O(k \log k)$  $2^{O(k^{2} \log k)}$

• For any vertex v, define  $\pi^{v}: 2^{T} \to \{0,1\}$ , where  $\pi^{v}(S) = 1$  if v is on the same side as S in the terminal cut (S, T - S), 0 otherwise.



- For any vertex v, define  $\pi^{v}: 2^{T} \to \{0,1\}$ , where  $\pi^{v}(S) = 1$  if v is on the same side as S in the terminal cut (S, T S), 0 otherwise.
- Define  $w_{\nu}(S)$  as the total weight of edges between  $\nu$  and S.
- $\pi^{\nu}(S) = 1$  iff  $w_{\nu}(S) > w_{\nu}(T)/2$ .

•  $\pi^{\nu}(S) = 1$  iff  $w_{\nu}(S) > w_{\nu}(T)/2$ .



 $w_{v}(S_{1}) + w_{v}(S_{2}) = w_{v}(S_{3}) + w_{v}(S_{4})$ 

It is not possible that  $\pi^{\nu}(S_1) = \pi^{\nu}(S_2) = 1$  and  $\pi^{\nu}(S_3) = \pi^{\nu}(S_4) = 0$ 

 $\Pi$  cannot shatter  $\{S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4\}$ 



- If two set families  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  satisfy:
  - $\bullet |\mathcal{S}_1| = |\mathcal{S}_2|.$
  - $\sum_{S \in S_1} S = \sum_{S \in S_2} S$
- Then  $\sum_{S \in S_1} w_v(S) = \sum_{S \in S_2} w_v(S)$
- It is not possible that  $\pi^{\nu}(S) = 1$  for all  $S \in S_1$  and  $\pi^{\nu}(S) = 0$  for all  $S \in S_2$
- $\Pi$  cannot shatter  $S_1 \cup S_2$ .

- If  $\Pi$  shatters S, then for all  $S' \subseteq S$  such that |S'| = |S|/2,  $\sum_{S \in S'} S$  are different from each other.
- There are  $\binom{|\mathcal{S}|}{|\mathcal{S}|/2}$  such subsets,
- There are at most  $|\mathcal{S}|^k$  possible values of  $\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}'} S$ .
- $\bullet \begin{pmatrix} |\mathcal{S}| \\ |\mathcal{S}|/2 \end{pmatrix} \leq |\mathcal{S}|^k$
- $|\mathcal{S}| = O(k \log k)$

Quality- $(1 + \varepsilon)$  Cut Sparsifier for Quasi-Bipartite Graphs

#### Imaginal Vertex

- Each vertex  $\boldsymbol{v}$  will randomly choose an imaginal vertex  $\boldsymbol{v}'$ .
- The number of possible imaginal vertices is small.
- We call the profile of v' as the virtual profile of v.
- Vertices with the same virtual profile will be contracted together.

#### Idea

- The contribution of a vertex v to a terminal cut S will change only when  $\pi^{v}(S) \neq \pi^{v'}(S)$ .
- In expectation, the contribution of v to each terminal cut will go up by a factor of  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ .
- We then prove concentration for the size of each terminal cut.



# Choosing Imaginal Vertex

- We randomly choose  $\Theta(1/\epsilon^2)$  terminal, and the probabilities are proportional to the edge weights.
- The imaginal vertex v' connects to the chosen terminals, the weights of the edges to each terminal are the same and the total weight equals  $w_v(T)$ .



# Choosing Imaginal Vertex

- We randomly choose  $\Theta(1/\epsilon^2)$  terminal, and the probabilities are proportional to the edge weights.
- The imaginal vertex v' connects to the chosen terminals, the weights of the edges to each terminal are the same and the total weight equals  $w_v(T)$ .
- If  $w_v(S)$  far away from  $w_v(T S)$ , the probability of  $\pi^v(S) \neq \pi^{v'}(S)$  is very small.
- If  $w_v(S)$  is close to  $w_v(T-S)$ , then the contribution of v does not change a lot even if  $\pi^v(S) \neq \pi^{v'}(S)$



#### Concentration

- Terminal cut size = sum of the contribution of all vertices.
- Difficulty: very few vertices contribute most of the weight.
- If a vertex contributes at least  $\Omega(1/k\epsilon^2)$  fraction of some terminal cut size, we say the vertex is important, and does not choose imaginal vertex.
- Lemma: the number of important vertices is polynomial.

#### Important Vertex

• Important cut: for any pair of terminals  $(t_1, t_2)$ , we say the minimal terminal cut that separates  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  as important cut.

Suppose  $\min\{w_v(S), w_v(T-S)\} = \alpha \cdot size(S)$ 

Exist  $t_1 \in S$ ,  $t_2 \notin S$ ,  $w_v(t_1)$ ,  $w_v(t_2) \ge \frac{\alpha}{k} \cdot size(S)$ 



#### Important Vertex

• Important cut: for any pair of terminals  $(t_1, t_2)$ , we say the minimal terminal cut that separates  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  as important cut.

Suppose  $\min\{w_v(S), w_v(T-S)\} = \alpha \cdot size(S)$ 

Exist  $t_1 \in S$ ,  $t_2 \notin S$ ,  $w_v(t_1)$ ,  $w_v(t_2) \ge \frac{\alpha}{k} \cdot size(S)$ 

Let S' be the minimum terminal cut separates  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ 

 $size(S') \leq size(S)$ 

$$\min\{w_{v}(S'), w_{v}(T-S')\} = \frac{\alpha}{k} \cdot size(S')$$



#### Important Vertex

• Important cut: for any pair of terminals  $(t_1, t_2)$ , we say the minimal terminal cut that separates  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  as important cut.

Suppose  $\min\{w_v(S), w_v(T-S)\} = \alpha \cdot size(S)$ 

Exist  $t_1 \in S$ ,  $t_2 \notin S$ ,  $w_v(t_1)$ ,  $w_v(t_2) \ge \frac{\alpha}{k} \cdot size(S)$ 

Let S' be the minimum terminal cut separates  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ 

 $size(S') \leq size(S)$ 

$$\min\{w_{\nu}(S'), w_{\nu}(T-S')\} = \frac{\alpha}{k} \cdot size(S')$$

**Lemma:** Any important vertex contributions at least  $\Omega(1/k^2\varepsilon^2)$  fraction of the size of some important cut.



## Future Direction

- What about quality- $(1 + \varepsilon)$  cut sparsifier for general graph?
  - Can it be polynomial size like Planar graph and Quasi-Bipartite graph?
  - Or can we proof an exponential lower bound?

Thanks for Listening!