## Linear and sublinear algorithms for graphlet sampling

Marco Bressan Hubert Chan Qipeng Kuang Mauro Sozio Univ. of Milan Hong Kong Univ. Hong Kong Univ. Télécom Paris



INPUT: a simple graph G and  $k > 3$ 

OUTPUT: a uniform random connected  $k$ -vertex subgraph of  $G$  (a  $k$ -graphlet)

Applications:

- estimating the graphlet frequency vector
- network analysis, bioinformatics, clustering, …



## The Graphlet Sampling Problem

INPUT: a simple graph G and  $k > 3$ 

OUTPUT: a uniform random connected  $k$ -vertex subgraph of  $G$  (a  $k$ -graphlet)

#### **Many methods proposed ...**

Bhuiyan et al. ICDM'12 Ahmed et al. TKDD'13 Ahmed et al. VI DR'14 Wang et al. TKDD'14 Saha et al. CompleNet'15 Jha et al. WWW'15 Bressan et al. WSDM'16 Han et al. ICDM'16 Chen et al. VLDB'16 Pinar et al. WWW'17 Bressan et al. TKDD'18 Agostini et al. IPL'19 Matsuno et al. SDM'20 Paramonov et al. KDD'20

…

#### ... all with limitations:

- only for  $k = 3, 4, 5$
- or,  $n^{\Theta(k)}$  time per sample
- or, samples far from uniform

• …

## **Results**

**Theorem 1** (the **linear** algo). There exists a two-phase uniform graphlet sampling algorithm with preprocessing time

$$
\mathcal{O}(n k^2 \log k + m)
$$

and expected sampling time per graphlet

 $k^{\mathcal{O}(k)}$  log n

**Theorem 2** (the **sublinear** algo). There exists a two-phase ε-uniform graphlet sampling algorithm with preprocessing time

$$
\mathcal{O}\Big(\varepsilon^{-1} k^6 \, n \log n\Big)
$$

and expected sampling time per graphlet

$$
k^{\mathcal{O}(k)}\varepsilon^{-10}\log\varepsilon^{-1}
$$

## **Results**

**Theorem 1** (the **linear** algo). There exists a two-phase uniform graphlet sampling algorithm with preprocessing time

$$
\mathcal{O}(n k^2 \log k + m) \quad \mathcal{O}(n + m)
$$

and expected sampling time per graphlet

 $k^{\mathcal{O}(k)}$  log n  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ 

**Theorem 2** (the **sublinear** algo). There exists a two-phase ε-uniform graphlet sampling algorithm with preprocessing time

$$
\mathcal{O}\Big(\varepsilon^{-1} k^6 \, n \log n\Big) \quad \mathcal{O}(n \log n)
$$

and expected sampling time per graphlet

$$
k^{\mathcal{O}(k)} \varepsilon^{-10} \log \varepsilon^{-1} \quad \mathcal{O}(1)
$$

## **Results**

**Theorem 1** (the **linear** algo). There exists a two-phase uniform graphlet sampling algorithm with preprocessing time

$$
\mathcal{O}(n k^2 \log k + m) \quad \mathcal{O}(n + m)
$$

and expected sampling time per graphlet

 $k^{\mathcal{O}(k)}$  log n  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ 

**Theorem 2** (the **sublinear** algo). There exists a two-phase ε-uniform graphlet sampling algorithm with preprocessing time

$$
\mathcal{O}\Big(\varepsilon^{-1} k^6 \, n \log n\Big) \quad \mathcal{O}(n \log n)
$$

and expected sampling time per graphlet

$$
k^{\mathcal{O}(k)} \varepsilon^{-10} \log \varepsilon^{-1} \quad \mathcal{O}(1)
$$

**Ongoing Work:** streaming and MPC adaptations.

# The Linear Algorithm



- 1. pick some vertex v in G with some probability  $p(v)$
- 2. starting with  $S = \{v\}$ , while  $|S| \le k$  do cut sampling:

pick an edge u.a.r. in  $\delta(S)$  and add endpoint to S compute the probability  $p(S|v)$  that cut sampling from v yields S let  $p^* = \min_{v, S} p(v) \cdot p(S|v) > 0$ 



- 1. pick some vertex v in G with some probability  $p(v)$
- 2. starting with  $S = \{v\}$ , while  $|S| \le k$  do cut sampling:

pick an edge u.a.r. in  $\delta(S)$  and add endpoint to S compute the probability  $p(S|v)$  that cut sampling from v yields S let  $p^* = \min_{v, S} p(v) \cdot p(S|v) > 0$ 



- 1. pick some vertex v in G with some probability  $p(v)$
- 2. starting with  $S = \{v\}$ , while  $|S| \le k$  do cut sampling:

pick an edge u.a.r. in  $\delta(S)$  and add endpoint to S compute the probability  $p(S|v)$  that cut sampling from v yields S let  $p^* = \min_{v, S} p(v) \cdot p(S|v) > 0$ 



- 1. pick some vertex v in G with some probability  $p(v)$
- 2. starting with  $S = \{v\}$ , while  $|S| \le k$  do cut sampling:

pick an edge u.a.r. in  $\delta(S)$  and add endpoint to S compute the probability  $p(S|v)$  that cut sampling from v yields S let  $p^* = \min_{v, S} p(v) \cdot p(S|v) > 0$ 



- 1. pick some vertex v in G with some probability  $p(v)$
- 2. starting with  $S = \{v\}$ , while  $|S| \le k$  do cut sampling:

pick an edge u.a.r. in  $\delta(S)$  and add endpoint to S compute the probability  $p(S|v)$  that cut sampling from v yields S let  $p^* = \min_{v, S} p(v) \cdot p(S|v) > 0$ 

3. with probability  $\frac{p^*}{p(y)p(y)}$  $\frac{p}{p(v) p(S|v)}$  return  $S$ , else repeat from 1.

$$
\mathbb{P}[S \text{ returned}] = p(v) \cdot p(S|v) \cdot \frac{p^*}{p(v) \cdot p(S|v)} = p^* \qquad \text{samples are uniform :-})
$$

$$
\mathbb{P}[S \text{ returned} | S \text{ sampled}] = \frac{p^*}{p(v) \cdot p(S|v)} \qquad \text{can be VERY small, like } n
$$

−Θ(k) :-(

$$
\mathbb{P}[S \text{ returned} | S \text{ sampled}] = \frac{p^*}{p(v) \cdot p(S|v)} \qquad \text{c}
$$

an be VERY small

We will make the sampling distribution **almost** uniform, i.e.:

$$
\frac{\min_{S} p(v) \cdot p(S|v)}{\max_{S} p(v) \cdot p(S|v)} = k^{-O(k)}
$$

This will make

$$
\mathbb{P}[S \text{ returned} | S \text{ sampled}] \geq k^{-O(k)} \qquad \forall S
$$

I promise that everything will be efficient.

## How to Fix It: Preprocessing

Compute a total order  $\prec$  over V by repeatedly removing a vertex of max degree. This takes time  $\mathcal{O}(n + m)$ .



For every v define the subgraph

$$
G(v):=G[u\succeq v]
$$

and the related **bucket** of graphlets

 $B(v) = \{S \text{ graphlet in } G(v), v \in S\}$ 

Finally, for all v and all  $u \succeq v$  define

$$
d(u|v) = \text{ degree of } u \text{ in } G(v)
$$



**Obs 1.** Every nonempty bucket  $B(v)$  satisfies:

$$
|B(v)|\stackrel{k^{O(k)}}{\simeq}d(v|v)^{k-1}
$$

(Proof by counting argument.)

**Then, we set**

$$
p(v) = \frac{d(v|v)^{k-1}}{Z} \stackrel{k^{O(k)}}{\simeq} \frac{|B(v)|}{Z}
$$

where  $Z = \sum_{u} d(u|u)^{k-1}$ .



**Obs 2.** Suppose we run the cut sampling process over  $G(v)$  starting from v. Then any  $S \in B(v)$  is sampled with probability:

$$
p(S|v) \stackrel{k^{O(k)}}{\simeq} \frac{1}{|B(v)|} \qquad \Rightarrow \quad p(v) \cdot p(S|v) \stackrel{k^{O(k)}}{\simeq} \frac{1}{Z}
$$

(Proof by counting argument.)

**Obs 3.** If we sort the adjacency lists of G by  $\prec$ , then the cut sampling process over  $G(v)$  can be run in time poly $(k)$  log *n*.

Proof: for every  $u \in G(v)$  we can locate the cut  $E(u, G(v))$  by binary searching for  $v$  in  $u$ 's adjacency list.

#### **Algorithm 1** Ugs

- 1: **procedure** Preprocessing
- 2: compute the total order  $\prec$  over V

3: compute 
$$
p(v) := \frac{d(v|v)^{k-1}}{Z}
$$
 for all v, where  $Z = \sum_{u} d(u|u)^{k-1}$ 

#### 5: **procedure** Sampling

- 6: sample v with probability  $p(v) := \frac{d(v|v)^{k-1}}{Z}$ Z
- 7: let  $S = \{v\}$
- 8: grow S in  $G(v)$  via cut sampling until  $|S| = k$
- 9: compute  $p(S|v)$
- 10: return S with probability  $\frac{k^{-O(k)}/Z}{p(v) \cdot p(S|v)}$

#### **Algorithm 2** Ugs

- 1: **procedure** Preprocessing
- 2: compute the total order  $\prec$  over V
- 3: compute  $p(v) := \frac{d(v|v)^{k-1}}{Z}$  $\sum_{z=1}^{\nu}$  for all v, where  $Z = \sum_{u} d(u|u)^{k-1}$
- 4: set  $p(v)$  to 0 if  $\mathbb{I} \{B(v) = \emptyset\}$ , for all v

#### 5: **procedure** Sampling

- 6: sample v with probability  $p(v) := \frac{d(v|v)^{k-1}}{Z}$ Z
- 7: let  $S = \{v\}$
- 8: grow S in  $G(v)$  via cut sampling until  $|S| = k$
- 9: compute  $p(S|v)$
- 10: return S with probability  $\frac{k^{-O(k)}/Z}{p(v) \cdot p(S|v)}$

### **Algorithm 3** Ugs



#### **Algorithm 4** Ugs



Total preprocessing time:  $\mathcal{O}(nk^2 \log k + m)$ 

Expected sampling time:  $k^{\mathcal{O}(k)}$  log n

# The Sublinear Algorithm



**Definition.** A total order  $\prec$  over V is  $\alpha$ -degree-dominating ( $\alpha$ -DD) if

$$
d(v|v) \geq \alpha \cdot d(u|v) \qquad \forall v \quad \forall u \in G(v)
$$

That is, v has approximately the largest degree in  $G(v)$ .

#### **Naive attempt for the** ε**-uniform algo:**

- 1. compute an  $\varepsilon\text{\rm -DD}$  ordering  $\prec$  in time  $\mathcal O(\varepsilon^{-1} n \log n)$
- 2. sample as before

## The  $\varepsilon$ -Uniform Algorithm: Preprocessing

We need the following relaxation.

An  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -DD order for G is a pair  $(\prec, b)$  where  $\mathbf{b} = (b_v)_{v \in V}$  such that:

(1) 
$$
b_v > 0 \implies d(v|G(v)) \ge \alpha d_v \ge \alpha d(u|G(v))
$$
 for all  $u > v$   
\n(2)  $b_v > 0 \implies k^{-O(k)} \beta \le \frac{b_v}{|B(v)|} \le k^{O(k)} \frac{1}{\beta}$   
\n(3)  $\sum_{v:b_v=0} |B(v)| \le \beta \sum_v |B(v)|$ 

$$
(4) \ \ v \prec u \implies d_u \leq \frac{d_v}{3k\alpha}
$$



## The  $\varepsilon$ -Uniform Algorithm: Preprocessing

<code>Theorem.</code> In time  $\mathcal{O}(\beta^{-1}k^6\,n\log n)$  one can compute with high probability an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -DD order  $(\prec, \mathbf{b})$  with  $\alpha = \beta^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \frac{1}{6k^3}$ .

Proof is really unwieldly.

**Algorithm 5** Compute- $(\alpha, \beta)$ -DD 1: start with  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  in nonincreasing order of degree 2: **for**  $i = 1, ..., n$  **do**<br>3: **draw**  $O(\beta^{-2}k^4)$ 3: draw  $O(\beta^{-2} k^4 \log n)$  random neighbors of  $v_i$ 4: **if** a fraction  $\geq \frac{\beta}{k^2}$  of those neighbors are after  $v_i$  **then** 5: set  $b_v = d_v^{k-1}$ 6: **else** 7: set  $b_v = 0$  and push  $v_i$  at the end of the order



We compute an  $(\alpha,\varepsilon)$ -DD order  $(\prec,\boldsymbol{b})$  in time  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-1} k^6\,$ nlog  $n).$ 

Then, we run the sampling phase of UGS using  $(\prec, \mathbf{b})$ .

As the sampling phase guarantees uniformity over the support of the distribution, by (3) we'll get  $\varepsilon$ -uniform graphlets.

```
REMINDER – Properties of (\alpha, \beta)-DD ordering:
(3) \sum_{v:b_v=0} |B(v)| \leq \beta \sum_{v} |B(v)|
```
**Problem:** without sorted adjacency lists, cut sampling can take time  $\Omega(n)$ .

#### **How to fix it:**

- 1. Set  $\beta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . By (3) we only need  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ -uniformity over the  $B(v)$  s.t.  $b_v > 0$ .
- 2. When growing S in  $G(v)$ , estimate the cut  $E(u, G(v) \setminus S)$  of every  $u \in S$ . By  $(1)$ ,  $(4)$  we can estimate  $E(u, G(v) \setminus S)$  with good multiplicative accuracy. This ensures  $p(S|v)$  somewhat close to  $\frac{1}{|B(v)|}.$
- 3. Use cut estimates to approximately compute  $p(S|v)$ .
- 4. Finally, by  $(2)$  the acceptance probability is not much worse than in UGS.

```
REMINDER – Properties of (\alpha, \beta)-DD ordering:
(1) b_v > 0 \implies d(v|G(v)) \ge \alpha d_v \ge \alpha d(u|G(v)) for all u \succ v(2) b_v > 0 \implies k^{-O(k)} \beta \leq \frac{b_v}{|\beta(v)|} \leq k^{O(k)} \frac{1}{\beta}(3) \sum_{v:b_v=0} |B(v)| \leq \beta \sum_{v} |B(v)|(4) v \prec u \implies d_u \leq \frac{d_v}{3k\alpha}
```
#### **Algorithm 6** Apx-Ugs



Total preprocessing time:  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-1}k^6n\log n)$ Expected sampling time:  $k^{\mathcal{O}(k)}$  poly $(\varepsilon^{-1})$ 

# Extensions: Streaming and MPC

## Streaming and MPC

**Streaming:** adversarial stream of edges, memory of  $M = \Omega(n \log n)$  words.



**Theorem.** The following guarantees are achievable w.h.p.:

**MPC:** *M* machines,  $S \geq M$  words per machine,  $M \cdot S = \tilde{\Omega}(n + m)$ .

**Theorem.** The following guarantees are achievable w.h.p.:



## Open Problems

(1) What can we achieve without preprocessing?

(2) What about **hypegraphs**?